

MODULI SPACE OF HESSIAN K3 SURFACES AND ARITHMETIC QUOTIENTS

KENJI KOIKE, YAMANASHI UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ given by $F(t_0, \dots, t_n) = 0$. The Hessian hypersurface $H(X)$ of X is defined by $\det(\partial^2 F / \partial t_i \partial t_j) = 0$. If X is a cubic surface, then $H(X)$ is a quartic surface which is classically known as a symmetroid. The minimal desingularization of $H(X)$ is a K3 surface and it has an Enriques involution. We study the moduli space of them as arithmetic quotients.

1. HESSIAN K3 SURFACES OF CUBIC SURFACES

We first review classical facts and recent results on cubic surfaces and Hessian K3 surfaces.

1.1. The Sylvester pentahedral form and classical invariants. For quaternary cubic forms

$$\sum_{i+j+k+l=3} a_{ijkl} X^i Y^j Z^k W^l \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z, W],$$

the ring of $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ -invariants $\mathbb{C}[a_{ijkl}]^{\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{C})}$ is

$$\mathbb{C}[I_8, I_{16}, I_{24}, I_{32}, I_{40}, I_{100}]$$

where I_n is an invariant polynomial of degree n ([Sa], [Hu]). We have $I_{100}^2 \in \mathbb{C}[I_8, I_{16}, I_{24}, I_{32}, I_{40}]$ and $I_8, \dots, I_{40}$ are algebraically independent. Hence the moduli space of cubic surfaces is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1 : 2 : 3 : 4 : 5)$. To construct invariants I_n explicitly, the following representation of cubic surfaces is useful. A general cubic surface is written as a complete intersection

$$S_\lambda : X_0 + \dots + X_4 = 0, \quad \lambda_0 X_0^3 + \dots + \lambda_4 X_4^3 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_4 \neq 0$, which is called the Sylvester form. For a given cubic surface, the parameter $\lambda = [\lambda_0 : \dots : \lambda_4] \in \mathbb{P}^4$ is uniquely determined up to permutations. Let σ_i be the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_4$. Then we have

$$I_8 = \sigma_4^2 - 4\sigma_3\sigma_5, \quad I_{16} = \sigma_5^3\sigma_1, \quad I_{24} = \sigma_5^4\sigma_4, \quad I_{32} = \sigma_5^6\sigma_2, \quad I_{40} = \sigma_5^8, \quad I_{100} = \sigma_5^{18} \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq 4} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$$

Remark 1.1. If we have $\sigma_5 = 0$, for example $\lambda_0 = 0$, then S_λ is equivalent to a diagonal surface

$$\lambda_1 X_1^3 + \dots + \lambda_5 X_5^3 = 0.$$

1.2. The singular locus. Let $\Delta_{\mathrm{Sing}}(\lambda)$ be a polynomial

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Sing}}(\lambda) = (\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)^8 \prod \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{\sqrt{\lambda_3}} + \frac{\varepsilon_4}{\sqrt{\lambda_4}} \right)$$

of degree 32, where $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_4)$ runs over $\{\pm 1\}^4$. Then S_λ is smooth iff $\Delta_{\mathrm{Sing}}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Hence we may regard an open set $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^4 \mid \Delta_{\mathrm{Sing}}(\lambda) \neq 0, \sigma_5 \neq 0\}$ as a parameter space of smooth cubic surfaces having Sylvester form.

Remark 1.2. In terms of classical invariants, we have

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{Sing}}(\lambda) = (I_8^2 - 2^6 I_{16})^2 - 2^{14} (I_{32} + 2^{-3} I_8 I_{24}).$$

(As pointed out in [DvG], the exponent -3 in the formula is omitted in [Sa], p. 198.)

1.3. Eckardt points. We have an important geometric interpretation for skew invariant I_{100} . A smooth S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) has an Eckardt point iff $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for some $i \neq j$, that is, iff

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) = 0.$$

(If there exists a plane section of a cubic surface which consists of three lines intersecting at a single point, then this point is called an Eckardt point.)

1.4. Hessian K3 surfaces. The Hessian of S_λ is given by

$$H_\lambda : X_0 + \cdots + X_4 = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_0 X_0} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_4 X_4} = 0.$$

It has singularities at $P_{ijk}^\lambda = \{X_i = X_j = X_k = 0\} \cap H_\lambda$, and contains lines $L_{ij}^\lambda = \{X_i = X_j = 0\} \cap H_\lambda$. If S_λ is smooth, then ten nodes P_{ijk}^λ are all of singularities of H_λ and we obtain K3 surface $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ by resolving them. The transcendental lattice of a general $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ was given in [DoKe].

Theorem 1.1 (Dolgachev and Keum). *Let $\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda$ be the proper transform of L_{ij} , and E_{ijk}^λ be the exceptional curve blown-down to P_{ijk}^λ . Let $N_\lambda \subset H^2(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ be a sublattice generated by $[\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda]$ and $[E_{ijk}^\lambda]$. For a general $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the Neron-Severi group $\text{NS}(\tilde{H}_\lambda)$ coincides with N_λ . In this case, the transcendental lattice $M_\lambda = \text{NS}(\tilde{H}_\lambda)^\perp \subset H^2(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $U \oplus U(2) \oplus A_2(2)$ ($\mathbb{Z}^6$ with a bilinear form $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$).*

In [DvG], transcendental lattices for several interesting subfamily were studied.

Theorem 1.2 (Dardanelli and van Geemen). *The transcendental lattice of a Hessian K3 surface of*

- (1) *a general cubic surface with a node is $T_{\text{node}} = \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 6 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^3$,*
- (2) *a general smooth cubic surface with an Eckardt point is $T_{\text{Eck}} = U \oplus U(2) \oplus \langle -12 \rangle$,*
- (3) *a general cubic surface which does not admit Sylvester form is $T_{\text{NS}} = U \oplus U(2) \oplus \langle -4 \rangle$ (Such a surface is defined by $X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3 - X_0^2(\lambda_0 X_0 + 3\lambda_1 X_1 + 3\lambda_2 X_2 + 3\lambda_3 X_3) = 0$).*

In [Ro], the Kummer locus was determined.

Theorem 1.3 (Rosenberg). *The Hessian H_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is the blow-up of a Weber hexad on a Kummer surface iff*

$$\Delta_{Km}(\mu) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \mu_i^3 - \sum_{i \neq j} \mu_i^2 \mu_j + 2 \sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq i} \mu_i \mu_j \mu_k = 0$$

where $\mu_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$. In classical invariants, this locus is given by $I_8 I_{24} + 8 I_{32} = 0$.

Remark 1.3. We have a birational transformation

$$\iota : H_\lambda \longrightarrow H_\lambda, \quad [X_0 : \cdots : X_4] \mapsto \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0 X_0} : \cdots : \frac{1}{\lambda_4 X_4} \right]$$

which interchanges P_{ijk} with L_{mn} where $\{i, j, k, m, n\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. It acts on $\tilde{H}_\lambda$ as a fixed-point-free involution. Hence the quotient surface $\tilde{H}_\lambda / \langle \iota \rangle$ is an Enriques surface.

2. THE PERIOD DOMAIN AND THE PERIOD MAPPING

2.1. The period domain. Let L be the K3 lattice $U^3 \oplus (E_8)^2 \cong H^2(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$. We fix a sublattice $N \subset L$ generated by ℓ_{ij} and e_{ijk} corresponding to $[\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda]$ and $[E_{ijk}^\lambda]$, and put $M = N^\perp = U \oplus U(2) \oplus A_2(2)$. If $\phi_\lambda : H^2(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism such that $\phi([\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda]) = \ell_{ij}$ and $\phi([E_{ijk}^\lambda]) = e_{ijk}$, we have $\phi_\lambda(M_\lambda) = M$. Since $H^{2,0}(\tilde{H}_\lambda) \subset M_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the $\mathbb{C}$ -linear extension of ϕ_λ maps $\Omega \in H^{2,0}(\tilde{H}_\lambda)$ into $M \otimes \mathbb{C}$. By the Hodge-Riemann relation $\Omega \wedge \Omega = 0$ and $\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} > 0$, the period $\phi_\lambda(\Omega)$ belongs to a domain

$$\mathbb{D}_M = \{z \in M \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid {}^t z Q z = 0, {}^t z Q \bar{z} > 0\} / \mathbb{C}^\times \subset \mathbb{P}(M \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

More explicitly, we have

$$z = [1 : z_2 : \dots : z_6] \in \mathbb{D}_M \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_2 = -2(z_3z_4 - z_5^2 + z_5z_6 - z_6^2) \\ y_3y_4 - y_5^2 + y_5y_6 - y_6^2 > 0 \quad (y_i = \text{Im}z_i) \end{cases}$$

and $\mathbb{D}_M = \mathbb{D}_M^+ \amalg \mathbb{D}_M^-$ where $\mathbb{D}_M^\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{D}_M : \pm y_3 > 0\}$.

2.2. Integral orthogonal group. Let us define orthogonal groups

$$\text{O}(M) = \{g \in \text{GL}_6(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^t g Q g = Q\}, \quad \text{O}^+(M) = \{g \in \text{O}(M) \mid g(\mathbb{D}_M^+) = \mathbb{D}_M^+\}.$$

They act on $\mathbb{D}_M$ and $\mathbb{D}_M^+$ respectively. Because $\mathbb{D}_M^+$ is connected, we see that $g \in \text{O}(M)$ belongs to $\text{O}^+(M)$ if and only if $g(p_0) \in \mathbb{D}_M^+$ for a point $p_0 = [\sqrt{2} : \sqrt{2} : \sqrt{-1} : \sqrt{-1} : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{D}_M^+$.

We define the discriminant form

$$q_M : \check{M}/M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad x \mapsto {}^t x Q x,$$

and the orthogonal group

$$\text{O}(q_M) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\check{M}/M) \mid q_M(gx) = q_M(x)\}.$$

Let $\text{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ be the kernel of a natural homomorphism $\text{O}^+(M) \rightarrow \text{O}(q_M)$. We can lift any $g \in \text{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ to an automorphism of L acting on N trivially ([Ni]). We consider also special orthogonal groups

$$\text{SO}^+(M) = \text{O}^+(M) \cap \text{SL}_6(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{SO}_{K3}^+(M) = \text{O}_{K3}^+(M) \cap \text{SL}_6(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We will show the following Proposition later.

Proposition 2.1. *We have*

$$\text{O}^+(M)/\text{O}_{K3}^+(M) \cong \text{SO}^+(M)/\text{SO}_{K3}^+(M) \cong \text{O}(q_T) \cong \{\pm 1\} \times \text{S}_5,$$

where $\{\pm 1\}$ acts on $\mathbb{D}_M^+/\text{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ trivially.

Remark 2.1. In [DoKe], it was shown that automorphisms of a certain domain of the positive cone in N is $\{\pm 1\} \times \text{S}_5$, which can be realized as the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N)$ generated by the Enriques involution and the group of symmetries of the Sylvester pentahedron.

Let $\text{O}_{Enr}^+(M)$ be the kernel of the projection $\text{O}^+(M) \rightarrow \text{O}^+(M)/\text{O}_{K3}^+(M) \rightarrow \text{S}_5$, and put

$$\text{SO}_{Enr}^+(M) = \text{O}_{Enr}^+(M) \cap \text{SL}_6(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\text{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ (resp. $\text{SO}_{K3}^+(M)$) is a subgroup of $\text{O}_{Enr}^+(M)$ (resp. $\text{SO}_{Enr}^+(M)$) of index 2.

2.3. Marked Hessian K3 surfaces and the period mapping. We call $(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \{\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda\}, \{[E_{ijk}^\lambda]\}, \phi_\lambda)$ a Hessian K3 surface with Sylvester structure, if $\phi_\lambda : H^2(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism such that $\phi(\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda) = \ell_{ij}$, $\phi([E_{ijk}^\lambda]) = e_{ijk}$ and $\phi_\lambda(\Omega_\lambda) \in \mathbb{D}_M^+$. From the Torelli theorem ([PS]) and the above Proposition, we see that

Theorem 2.1. *The period map*

$$\{(\tilde{H}_\lambda, \{\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda\}, \{[E_{ijk}^\lambda]\}, \phi_\lambda)\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_M^+, \quad (\tilde{H}_\lambda, \{\tilde{L}_{ij}^\lambda\}, \{[E_{ijk}^\lambda]\}, \phi_\lambda) \mapsto \phi_\lambda(\Omega_\lambda)$$

induces S_5 -equivariant injective map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_M^+/\text{O}_{K3}^+(M) \cong \mathbb{D}_M^+/\text{O}_{Enr}^+(M)$.

Remark 2.2. The period domain $\mathbb{D}_{Enr}$ of Enriques surfaces is the domain of type IV defined by the lattice $L_{Enr} = \text{U} \oplus \text{U}(2) \oplus \text{E}_8(2)$. We have a primitive embedding $M \subset L_{Enr}$ and $\mathbb{D}_M^+ \subset \mathbb{D}_{Enr}$. Automorphic forms on $\mathbb{D}_{Enr}$ are given in [K2] and [FS].

3. TWO ARITHMETIC QUOTIENTS

We give an explicit isomorphism between the period domain $\mathbb{D}_M^+$ and the Hermitian upper half space of degree 2, and compare action of two discrete groups.

3.1. Hermitian upper half space. The Hermitian upper half space of degree 2 is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \{\tau \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \frac{1}{2i}(\tau - \tau^*) > 0\}.$$

We have an isomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathbb{D}_M^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_2, \quad [1 : z_2 : \cdots : z_6] \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z_3 & z_5 + \omega z_6 \\ z_5 + \omega^2 z_6 & z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Note that $z_2 = -2 \det \Psi(z)$. The modular group

$$\mathrm{H}\Gamma = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\omega]) \mid g^* J g = J\}, \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_2 \\ -\mathrm{I}_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

acts on $\mathbb{H}_2$ by $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \cdot \tau = (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}$, and we have an involution $T : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$, $\tau \mapsto {}^t\tau$.

Remark 3.1. For $g \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma$, we have $T \cdot g \cdot \tau = \bar{g} \cdot T \cdot \tau$.

We consider the following congruence subgroups

$$\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma \mid C \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}, \quad \mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2) \mid A \equiv \mathrm{I}_2 \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

To study generators of $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2)$ and $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2)$, let us define a group

$$G(2) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega]) \mid g \equiv \mathrm{I}_2 \pmod{2}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. If $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]^2$ satisfies $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{2}$, then there exists $A \in G(2)$ such that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]).$$

Proof. Let δ be a generator of an ideal (α, β) of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, and put $\alpha' = \alpha/\delta$ and $\beta' = \beta/\delta$. We may assume that $\alpha' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by replacing δ with $\omega\delta$ or $\omega^2\delta$ if necessary. We see that $A = \begin{bmatrix} x - \beta'y & y + \alpha'y \\ -\beta' & \alpha' \end{bmatrix}$ is a desired matrix for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ such that $\alpha'x + \beta'y = 1$. $\square$

Proposition 3.1. (1) The group $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2)$ is generated by

$$g(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t\bar{A}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(B)^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{I}_2 & B \\ 0 & \mathrm{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(B)_* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{I}_2 & 0 \\ 2B & \mathrm{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A \in G(2)$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_3 + \omega m_4 \\ m_3 + \omega^2 m_4 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ($m_1, \dots, m_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$).

(2) We have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_4) \longrightarrow 1, \quad f\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) = A \pmod{2}$$

and the group $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2)$ is generated by $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2)$ and $g(A)$ with $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$.

Proof. (1) Let Γ be the group generated by matrices in the Proposition, and $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ be in $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2)$. If $C = 0$, then we see easily that $g \in \Gamma$. So we show that there exists $g' \in \Gamma$ such that $g'g$ is a matrix with $C = 0$. This is proved by the following division algorithm.

(i) By Lemma 3.1, there exist $A \in G(2)$ such that the first column of $g(A)g$ is $x = {}^t(\alpha, 0, \gamma, \delta)$. Then we have $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\gamma$ by the unitary condition ${}^t x J \bar{x} = 0$. Therefore we may assume that the first column of

g is ${}^t(m\alpha, 0, 2n\alpha, 2\beta)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Multiplying $g(B)^*$ and $g(B)_*$ with $B = \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, we have transformations of the first column

$$\begin{aligned} g(B)^* : (m\alpha, 0, 2n\alpha, 2\beta) &\mapsto ((m \pm 2n)\alpha, 0, 2n\alpha, 2\beta), \\ g(B)_* : (m\alpha, 0, 2n\alpha, 2\beta) &\mapsto (m\alpha, 0, 2(n \pm m)\alpha, 2\beta). \end{aligned}$$

By these transformations, we can change the value of n into 0.

(ii) Let $(\alpha, 0, 0, 2\beta)$ be the first column of g .

(ii-1) If $|\alpha| < \sqrt{3}|\beta|$, then let us consider a transformation

$$g(B)_* : (\alpha, 0, 0, 2\beta) \mapsto (\alpha, 0, 0, 2(\beta - \varepsilon\alpha)), \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\bar{\varepsilon} \\ -\varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]^\times$ such that $-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \arg(\bar{\varepsilon}\varepsilon\alpha) \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta - \varepsilon\alpha|^2 &= |\beta|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\varepsilon}\varepsilon\alpha) + |\alpha|^2 \leq |\beta|^2 - 2\cos\frac{\pi}{6}|\beta||\alpha| + |\alpha|^2 \\ &= |\beta|^2 - (\sqrt{3}|\beta| - |\alpha|)|\alpha| < |\beta|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii-2) If $\sqrt{3}|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$, then let us consider a transformation

$$g(B)^* : (\alpha, 0, 0, 2\beta) \mapsto (\alpha - \varepsilon\beta, 0, 0, 2\beta), \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon \\ -\bar{\varepsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]^\times$ such that $-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \arg(\bar{\alpha}\varepsilon\beta) \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha - \varepsilon\beta|^2 &= |\alpha|^2 - 4\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\varepsilon\beta) + 4|\beta|^2 < |\alpha|^2 - 4\cos\frac{\pi}{6}|\alpha||\beta| + 6|\beta|^2 \\ &= |\alpha|^2 - 2\sqrt{3}(|\alpha| - \sqrt{3}|\beta|)|\beta| < |\alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above transformations, we may assume that $\beta = 0$.

(iii) Let $x_1 = {}^t(\varepsilon, 0, 0, 0)$ be the first column of g , $x_2 = {}^t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ be the second column. Then we have $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}\delta$ since ${}^t x_1 J \bar{x}_2 = 0$ and ${}^t x_2 J \bar{x}_2 = 0$. Applying the same argument with (i), we can change δ into 0.

(2) is easily shown. $\square$

Remark 3.2. We have an isomorphism $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_4)/\mathbb{F}_4^\times \cong A_5$ as even permutations of five points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_4)$.

3.2. Comparison of two groups. We compare $O^+(M)$ and HF as automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}_M^+ \cong \mathbb{H}_2$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $h \in \operatorname{GL}_6(\mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix of the following form

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{31} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_{61} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $h \in O^+(M)$ if and only if the conditions

(i) $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$

(ii) $a_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}{}^t a Q' a$, $a = {}^t(a_{31}, a_{41}, a_{51}, a_{61})$, $Q' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$

(iii) $(a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{25}, a_{26}) = -{}^t a Q'$

are satisfied. Therefore any $(a_{31}, a_{41}, a_{51}, a_{61}) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ determines $h = h(a_{31}, \dots, a_{61}) \in O^+(M)$ by the relations (i) - (iii). Moreover, we have

$$h(a_{31}, \dots, a_{61})h(b_{31}, \dots, b_{61}) = h(a_{31} + b_{31}, \dots, a_{61} + b_{61}).$$

Hence they form a subgroup of $O^+(M)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^4$. As an automorphism of $\mathbb{H}_2$, we have

$$\Psi(h(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \cdot z) = g(B)^* \cdot \Psi(z), \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_3 + \omega m_4 \\ m_3 + \omega^2 m_4 & m_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $\det h = \pm 1$ and $h(p_0) \in \mathbb{D}_M^+$, we see that $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$. Other conditions are obtained from the condition ${}^t h Q h = Q$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. (1) A map $\psi : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\omega]) \rightarrow \text{SO}^+(M)$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \text{I}_2 \oplus \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3}|a_1|^2/2 & \sqrt{3}|a_2|^2/2 & \sqrt{3}\text{Re}(a_1\bar{a}_2) & \sqrt{3}\text{Re}(\omega a_1\bar{a}_2) \\ \sqrt{3}|a_3|^2/2 & \sqrt{3}|a_4|^2/2 & \sqrt{3}\text{Re}(a_3\bar{a}_4) & \sqrt{3}\text{Re}(\omega a_3\bar{a}_4) \\ \text{Im}(\omega a_3\bar{a}_1) & \text{Im}(\omega a_4\bar{a}_2) & \text{Im}(\omega(a_4\bar{a}_1 + a_3\bar{a}_2)) & \text{Im}(a_4\bar{a}_1 - \omega a_2\bar{a}_3) \\ \text{Im}(a_1\bar{a}_3) & \text{Im}(a_2\bar{a}_4) & \text{Im}(a_1\bar{a}_4 + a_2\bar{a}_3) & \text{Im}(\omega(a_1\bar{a}_4 - a_3\bar{a}_2)) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a homomorphism such that $\text{Ker } \psi = \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$ and $\Psi(\psi(A) \cdot z) = g(A) \cdot \Psi(z)$. Moreover we have $\psi(g) \equiv \text{I}_6 \pmod{2}$ if and only if $g \in G(2)$.

(2) For $u_1 = \text{I}_4 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in O^+(M)$, we have $\Psi(u_1 \cdot z) = {}^t \Psi(z) = T \cdot \Psi(z)$.

Proof. The proof is straight-forward. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. A subset

$$O^+(M)_0 = \{[a_{ij}] \in O^+(M) : \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{2}\}$$

is a normal subgroup of $O^+(M)$. and we have

$$O^+(M) = O^+(M)_0 \cup g_0 O^+(M)_0, \quad g_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \text{I}_4.$$

Proof. For $g = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6] = [a_{ij}] \in O^+(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} {}^t \mathbf{a}_k Q \mathbf{a}_k = a_{1k}a_{2k} + 2(a_{3k}a_{4k} - a_{5k}^2 + a_{5k}a_{6k} - a_{6k}^2) \quad (k = 1, 2) \\ 1 &= {}^t \mathbf{a}_1 Q \mathbf{a}_2 = a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21} + 2(a_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{23} - 2a_{15}a_{25} + a_{15}a_{26} + a_{16}a_{25} - 2a_{16}a_{26}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{2}.$$

The conditions ${}^t \mathbf{a}_1 Q \mathbf{a}_k = {}^t \mathbf{a}_2 Q \mathbf{a}_k = 0$ ($k = 3, 4, 5, 6$) imply $a_{1k} \equiv a_{2k} \pmod{2}$ ($k = 3, 4, 5, 6$). Using this equalities, we can check that $gh \in O^+(M)_0$ for $g, h \in O^+(M)_0$. $\square$

Let us consider the following elements of $O^+(M)$.

$$h_1 = h(1, 0, 0, 0), \quad h_2 = h(0, 1, 0, 0), \quad h_3 = h(0, 0, 1, 0), \quad h_4 = h(0, 0, 0, 1), \quad h'_i = g_0 h_i g_0,$$

$$g_1 = \text{I}_2 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \text{I}_2 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{I}_{4,2} = \text{I}_4 \oplus (-\text{I}_2), \quad u_0 = \text{I}_2 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \text{I}_2, \quad u_1 = \text{I}_4 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \text{I}_4 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Put $\text{SO}^+(M)_0 = O^+(M)_0 \cap \text{SO}^+(M)$. We have

$$\text{SO}^+(M) = \text{SO}^+(M)_0 \cup (g_0 u_0 \text{I}_{4,2}) \text{SO}^+(M)_0, \quad O^+(M) = O^+(M)_0 \cup (g_0 u_0 \text{I}_{4,2}) O^+(M)_0.$$

The action of $g_0 u_0 \text{I}_{4,2}$ on $\mathbb{H}_2$ is given by

$$\Phi(g_0 u_0 \text{I}_{4,2} \cdot z) = -\frac{1}{2} \Psi(z)^{-1} = W \cdot \Psi(z), \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\text{I}_2 \\ 2\text{I}_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The involution W is a normalizer of $\mathrm{HF}_0(2)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_1(2)$. We denote semi-direct products $\mathrm{HF}_0(2) \rtimes \langle W \rangle$ and $\mathrm{HF}_1(2) \rtimes \langle W \rangle$ by $\mathrm{HF}_0^*(2)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_1^*(2)$ respectively.

Proposition 3.2. *The group $\mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0$ is generated by*

$$h_i, h'_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), \quad g_1, g_2, u_0 g_1 u_0, \quad \pm I_{2,4}, \quad u_0 u_1, u_2.$$

Proof. We can prove by the same method with the proof of Proposition 3.1. Let G be the group generated by matrices in the Proposition. We show that for any $X \in \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $gX \in G$.

(i) Let $\mathbf{a}_2 = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_6)$ be the second column of $X \in \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0$. By transformations

$$h_2 : (a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_2 - 2a_3, a_3), \quad h'_1 : (a_2, a_3) \mapsto (a_2, a_2 + a_3),$$

we can change the value $a_2 a_3$ into 0. Then we have $a_3 = 0$ since $a_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. By the condition ${}^t \mathbf{a}_2 Q \mathbf{a}_2 = 0$, we have

$$a_1 a_2 - 2(a_5^2 - a_5 a_6 + a_6^2) = 0 \quad \therefore a_1 a_2 = 2|a_5 + \omega a_6|^2.$$

Put $z(\mathbf{a}_2) = a_5 + \omega a_6$. Because we have

$$z(I_{4,2} \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = -z(\mathbf{a}_2), \quad z(u_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = \omega z(\mathbf{a}_2),$$

we may assume that $\theta = \arg(z(\mathbf{a}_2))$ satisfies $-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$. If $z(\mathbf{a}_2) \neq 0$, then we have $|a_1| < \sqrt{3}|z(\mathbf{a}_2)|$ or $|a_2| < \sqrt{3}|z(\mathbf{a}_2)|$. If $|a_i| < \sqrt{3}|z(\mathbf{a}_2)|$, then we have

$$|z(\mathbf{a}_2) - a_i|^2 = |z(\mathbf{a}_2)|^2 - 2 \cos \theta |z(\mathbf{a}_2)| |a_i| + |a_i|^2 \leq |z(\mathbf{a}_2)|^2 - (\sqrt{3}|z(\mathbf{a}_2)| - |a_i|) |a_i| < |z(\mathbf{a}_2)|^2.$$

Repeating transformations

$$z(h_3^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = z(\mathbf{a}_2) - a_1, \quad z((h'_3)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = z(\mathbf{a}_2) - a_2,$$

we can change $z(\mathbf{a}_2)$ into 0, that is, $\mathbf{a}_2$ into ${}^t(0, a_2, 0, a_4, 0, 0)$. Now multiplying $h_1, h'_2, -I_{4,2}$, we may assume that $\mathbf{a}_2 = {}^t(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. By the condition ${}^t X Q X = Q$, we see that X is the following form

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & * & * & * & * \\ \hline * & 0 & & & & \\ * & 0 & & X' & & \\ * & 0 & & & & \\ * & 0 & & & & \end{array} \right], \quad I_2 \oplus X' \in \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0.$$

Because $(I_2 \oplus X')^{-1} X$ is a matrix of the form in Lemma 3.2, we may assume that $X = I_2 \oplus X'$.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{a}_4 = {}^t(0, 0, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)$ be the 4-th column of $X = I_2 \oplus X' \in \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0$. We have

$$b_3 b_4 = |b_5 + \omega b_6|^2$$

since ${}^t \mathbf{a}_4 Q \mathbf{a}_4 = 0$. By the similar arguments with (i), we can change $b_5 + \omega b_6$ into 0 using g_1 and $g_0 g_1 g_0$. Multiplying $u_0 u_1$ if necessary, we have $\mathbf{a}_4 = {}^t(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$. By the condition ${}^t X Q X = Q$, we see that

$$X = I_2 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 1 & * & * \\ * & 0 & a & b \\ * & 0 & c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad {}^t \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad ad - bc = 1.$$

Now it is easy to check that X is obtained from $I_{4,2}, u_2$ and

$$X = I_2 \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4(m^2 - mn + n^2) & 1 & 4m - 2n & -2m + 4n \\ 2m & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = g_1^{2m} g_2^{2n}.$$

□

Theorem 3.1. *We have isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0/\{\pm 1\} &\cong \mathrm{HF}_0(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}, & \mathrm{O}^+(M)_0/\{\pm 1\} &\cong \mathrm{HF}_0(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\} \rtimes \langle T \rangle, \\ \mathrm{SO}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} &\cong \mathrm{HF}_0^*(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}, & \mathrm{O}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} &\cong \mathrm{HF}_0^*(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\} \rtimes \langle T \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}_M^+ \cong \mathbb{H}_2$.

Proof. For generators in Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), & g_2 &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \omega^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), & u_0 g_1 u_0 &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \\ \mathrm{I}_{2,4} &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right), & u_0 u_1 &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), & u_2 &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and h_i, h'_i correspond to $\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{I}_2 & B \\ 0 & \mathrm{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{I}_2 & 0 \\ 2B & \mathrm{I}_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Considering these correspondence together with Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we see that

$$\mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0/\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathrm{HF}_0(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}.$$

Other isomorphisms hold since $g_0 u_0 \mathrm{I}_{4,2}$ and u_1 correspond to W and T respectively, and since we have

$$\mathrm{SO}^+(M) = \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0 \cup (g_0 u_0 \mathrm{I}_{4,2}) \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0, \quad \mathrm{O}^+(M)_0 = \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0 \cup u_1 \mathrm{SO}^+(M)_0.$$

□

3.3. The orthogonal group with level structure. Now we show Proposition 2.1. Let $e_1, \dots, e_6$ be the standard basis of $M = \mathbb{Z}^6$. The dual lattice $\check{M}$ is generated by

$$e_1, \quad e_2, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{2}e_3, \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2}e_4, \quad d_3 = \frac{1}{6}e_5 + \frac{1}{3}e_6, \quad d_4 = \frac{1}{3}e_5 + \frac{1}{6}e_6,$$

and $\check{M}/M \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 . Elements of order 3 in $\check{M}/M$ are $\pm 2d_3$ ($-2d_3 \equiv 2d_4 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$). Elements of order 2 in $\check{M}/M$ are

$$v_1 = d_1, \quad v_2 = d_2, \quad v_3 = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4, \quad v_4 = d_1 + d_2 + 3d_3, \quad v_5 = d_1 + d_2 + 3d_4$$

for which we have $q_M(v_i) = 0$, and

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} d_1 + d_2, & d_3 + d_4, & 3d_3, & 3d_4, & d_1 + d_3 + d_4 & d_1 + 3d_3, & d_1 + 3d_4 \\ & & & & d_2 + d_3 + d_4, & d_2 + 3d_3, & d_2 + 3d_4 \end{array}$$

for which the value of q_M is 1. Hence $\mathrm{O}(q_M)$ acts on $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ as permutations. We have the following correspondence

$$g_1 = (14)(35), \quad g_2 = (15)(34), \quad u_0 = (12), \quad u_1 = (35), \quad u_2 = (345)$$

Hence the composition $\mathrm{O}^+(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(q_M) \rightarrow S_5$ is surjective. Because $v_1, \dots, v_5$ generate elements of order 2 in $\check{M}/M$, we may identify $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{O}(q_M) \rightarrow S_5)$ with $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Aut}\{0, 2d_3, -2d_3\}$. We see that this group is given by $\{\mathrm{id}, -\mathrm{I}_6\}$. Hence $\mathrm{O}^+(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(q_M)$ is surjective and we have $\mathrm{O}(q_M) \cong S_5 \times \{\mathrm{id}, -\mathrm{I}_6\}$. Because we have $\mathrm{O}^+(M) = \mathrm{SO}^+(M) \cup g_0 \mathrm{SO}^+(M)$ and $g_0 \in \mathrm{O}_{K3}^+(M)$, we see that

$$\mathrm{O}^+(M)/\mathrm{O}_{K3}^+(M) \cong \mathrm{SO}^+(M)/\mathrm{SO}_{K3}^+(M).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6]$ be an element of $\mathrm{O}^+(M)$. We have $g \in \mathrm{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_3 &\equiv e_3, \quad \mathbf{a}_4 \equiv e_4 \pmod{2} \\ \mathbf{a}_5 + 2\mathbf{a}_6 &\equiv e_5 + 2e_6, \quad 2\mathbf{a}_5 + \mathbf{a}_6 \equiv 2e_5 + e_6 \pmod{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $g \in \mathrm{O}_{K3}^+(M)$ iff $gd_i \equiv d_i \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $g = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_6]$ be an element of $\mathrm{O}^+(M)$. We have $g \in \mathrm{O}_{Enr}^+(M)$ if and only if*

$$\mathbf{a}_i \equiv e_i \pmod{2} \quad (i = 3, \dots, 6).$$

Proof. Let us recall that $O_{Enr}^+(M)$ is defined as the kernel of the composition

$$O^+(M) \longrightarrow S_5 \times \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow S_5.$$

Therefore $g \in O^+(M)$ belongs to $O_{Enr}^+(M)$ if and only if $gv_i = v_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), that is, g acts trivially on 2-torsions of $\check{M}/M$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *As automorphisms of $\mathbb{D}_M^+ \cong \mathbb{H}_2$, we have isomorphisms*

$$SO_{Enr}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathrm{HF}_1(2)/\{\pm 1\}, \quad O_{Enr}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathrm{HF}_1(2)/\{\pm 1\} \rtimes \langle W' \rangle$$

where $W' = T \cdot [A] \cdot W$ and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, we have isomorphism

$$f : \mathrm{HF}_0^*(2)/\{\pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2\} \longrightarrow SO^+(M)/\{\pm 1\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, we see that the generator

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_2 & B \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 2B & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = W \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & -B \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} W^{-1}, \quad G(2)$$

of $\mathrm{HF}_1(2)$ are mapped into $SO_{Enr}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\}$ by f . Considering isomorphisms

$$SO^+(M)/SO_{Enr}^+(M) \cong S_5, \quad \mathrm{HF}_0(2)/(\mathrm{HF}_1(2) \times \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_4)/\mathbb{F}_4^\times \cong A_5$$

we see that $SO_{Enr}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathrm{HF}_1(2)/\{\pm 1\}$. Since we have

$$O_{Enr}^+(M) = SO_{Enr}^+(M) \cup (g_0 I_{4,2}) SO_{Enr}^+(M)$$

and $g_0 I_{4,2} \cdot z = W' \cdot \Psi(z)$, we see that

$$O_{Enr}^+(M)/\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathrm{HF}_1(2)/\{\pm 1\} \rtimes \langle W' \rangle.$$

$\square$

4. HEEGNER DIVISORS

Proposition 4.1. *Heegener divisors (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 1.2 and the Kummer locus correspond to $\mathrm{HF}_0^*(2) \rtimes \langle T \rangle$ -orbit of*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \ \mathcal{H}_{node} &= \{\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2 \mid 2 \det \tau = -1\}, \quad (2) \ \mathcal{H}_{Eck} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2 \mid {}^t \tau = -\tau\}, \\ (3) \ \mathcal{H}_{NS} &= \{\tau \in \mathbb{H}_2 \mid {}^t \tau = \tau\}, \quad (4) \ \mathcal{H}_{Km} = \left\{ \tau + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{NS} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the lattice M , we have $\langle e_1 - e_2 \rangle^\perp = \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 6 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^3 = T_{node}$. In fact, an orthogonal basis of T_{node} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \quad 3e_1 + 3e_2 - 3e_4 + e_5 + 2e_6, \quad e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4, \\ -e_1 - e_2 + e_4 - e_6, \quad -e_1 - e_2 + e_4 - e_5 - e_6. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$z \perp (e_1 - e_2) \Leftrightarrow z_2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow 2 \det \Psi(z) = -1, \quad (z = [1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4 : z_5 : z_6] \in \mathbb{D}_M^+).$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_5 \rangle^\perp &= \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 + 2e_6 \rangle = U \oplus U(2) \oplus \langle -12 \rangle = T_{Eck}, \\ \langle e_5 + 2e_6 \rangle^\perp &= \langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 \rangle = U \oplus U(2) \oplus \langle -4 \rangle = T_{NS} \\ \langle 3e_2 + e_5 + 2e_6 \rangle^\perp &= \langle e_2, 2e_1 + e_2 + e_5 + e_6, e_3, e_4, e_2 + e_5 \rangle = U(2) \oplus U(2) \oplus \langle -4 \rangle = T_{Km} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} z \perp e_5 &\Leftrightarrow z_6 = 2z_5 \Leftrightarrow {}^t\Psi(z) = -\Psi(z), & z \perp (e_5 + 2e_6) &\Leftrightarrow z_6 = 0 \Leftrightarrow {}^t\Psi(z) = \Psi(z), \\ z \perp (3e_2 + e_5 + 2e_6) &\Leftrightarrow 2z_6 = 1 \Leftrightarrow \Psi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} z_3 & z_5 + \frac{\omega}{2} \\ z_5 + \frac{\omega^2}{2} & z_4 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

For a subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{H}\Gamma$ and a character χ of G , a modular form of weight k with character χ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}_2$ such that

$$f((A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1}) = \chi(g) \det(C\tau + D)^k f(\tau)$$

for any $g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in G$. Let $[G, k, \chi]$ be the vector space of such functions. In [DeKr], Dern and Krieg determined the graded ring $\oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} [\mathrm{H}\Gamma, k, \det^k]$. It is generated by Eisenstein series $E_k(\tau)$ of weight k ($k = 4, 6, 10, 12$), and Borcherds products $\phi_9(\tau)$ and $\phi_{45}(\tau)$ vanishing exactly on $\mathrm{H}\Gamma$ -orbits of $\mathcal{H}_{NS}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{Eck}$ respectively (They considered a lattice $\mathrm{U}\oplus$). By a linear map

$$[\mathrm{H}\Gamma, k, (\det)^k] \longrightarrow [\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2), k, (\det)^k], \quad f(\tau) \mapsto f(2\tau),$$

we obtain modular forms with respect to $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2)$.

Proposition 4.2. *A modular form $\phi_9(2\tau)$ vanishes on $\mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2) \rtimes \langle T \rangle$ -orbit of $\mathcal{H}_{NS} \cup \mathcal{H}_{Km}$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_9(2\tau') = 0 &\Leftrightarrow 2\tau' = (A\tau + B)(C\tau + D)^{-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \tau' = \begin{bmatrix} A & \frac{1}{2}B \\ 2C & D \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\tau \quad \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma, \tau \in \mathcal{H}_{NS} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the zero divisor of $\phi_9(2\tau)$ is the orbit of $\mathcal{H}_{NS}$ under the action of the group

$$G = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & \frac{1}{2}B \\ 2C & D \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma \right\}.$$

By the same argument with Proposition 3.1, we see that

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathrm{H}\Gamma_0(2)g^*(B_i), \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega \\ \omega^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega^2 \\ \omega & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the proposition follows from the facts that

$$T \cdot \mathcal{H}_{NS} = g^*(B_i) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{NS} = \mathcal{H}_{NS} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad g^*(B_3) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{NS} = \mathcal{H}_{Km}, \quad g^*(B_4) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{NS} = T \cdot \mathcal{H}_{Km}.$$

□

5. MISCELLANEOUS

In [FH], Freitag and Hermann gave a degree two map $\mathbb{H}_2/\mathrm{H}\Gamma(2) \rightarrow X_{32} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ by these functions, where X_{32} is a $W(E_6)$ -invariant hypersurface of degree 32 and

$$\mathrm{H}\Gamma(2) = \{g \in \mathrm{H}\Gamma \mid g \equiv I_2 \pmod{2}\}.$$

In [K2], Kondō gave an embedding of the moduli space of Enriques surfaces with level 2 structure. The restriction of Kondō's map would give a similar map. On the other hand, the group $W(E_6)$ acts on the moduli space of ordered 6 points on $\mathbb{P}^2$. One may ask relation between

$$\{\text{ordered 6 points on } \mathbb{P}^2\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Hessian K3 surfaces}\}$$

and $\mathbb{H}_2/\mathrm{H}\Gamma(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2/\mathrm{H}\Gamma_1(2)$.

The Hermitian modular variety $\mathbb{H}_2/\mathrm{H}\Gamma$ is considered as a moduli space of Abelian 4-folds of Weil type. It is interesting to study the Kuga-Satake-Hodge correspondence for Hessian K3 surfaces. A geometric correspondence as in [Pa] is desired.

REFERENCES

- [ACT] D. Allcock, J. A. Carlson and D. Toledo, The complex hyperbolic geometry of the moduli space of cubic surfaces, *J. Alg. Geom.* 11 (2002), 659-724.
- [AF] D. Allcock and E. Freitag, Cubic surfaces and Borchers products, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 77 (2002), 270-296.
- [DvG] E. Dardanelli and B. van Geemen, Hessians and the moduli space of cubic surfaces, *Contemp. Math.* 422, AMS (2007) 17-36.
- [DeKr] T. Dern and A. Krieg, Graded rings of Hermitian modular forms of degree 2, *Manuscr. Math.* 110 (2003), 251 - 272.
- [DoKe] I. Dolgachev and J. Keum, Birational automorphisms of quartic Hessian surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 354 (2002), 3031-3057.
- [FH] E. Freitag and C. F. Hermann, Some modular varieties in low dimension, *Adv. Math.* 152 (2000), 203-287.
- [FS] E. Freitag and R. Salvati Manni, Modular forms for the even unimodular lattice of signature (2, 10), *J. Alg. Geom.* 16 (2007), 753-791.
- [Hu] B. Hunt, The Geometry of some special Arithmetic Quotients, Springer LNM 1637 (1996).
- [K1] S. Kondō, The automorphism group of a generic Jacobian Kummer surface, *J. Alg. Geom.* 7 (1998), 589-609.
- [K2] S. Kondō, The moduli space of Enriques surfaces and Borchers products, *J. Alg. Geom.* 11 (2002), 601-627.
- [MT] K. Matsumoto and T. Terasoma, Theta constants associated to cubic threefolds, *J. Al. Geom.* 12 (2003), 741-775.
- [Ni] V. V. Nikulin, Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications, *Math. USSR Izv.* 14 (1980), 103 - 166.
- [Pa] K. Paranjape, Abelian varieties associated to certain K3 surfaces, *Comp. Math.* 68 (1988), no. 1, 11 - 22.
- [PS] I. I. Pjateckiĭ - Šapiro and Šafarevič, A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3, *Math. USSR Izv.* 5 (1971), No.3, 547 - 588.
- [Ro] J. E. Rosenberg, Hessian quartic surfaces that are Kummer surfaces, *arXiv:math/9903037*.
- [Sa] G. Salmon, A Treatise on the Analytic Geometry of Three Dimensions, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York (1965).